

Parking Action Plan - Phase 12 – Annex 3 Redacted formal consultation responses

Parking Action Plan - Phase 12 – Annex 3 Redacted formal consultation responses

Part 1 - ONLINE CONSULTATION RESPONSES

Ref	Parking Consultation Location	Object or support	Reason	Parking Consultation Comments
PCN071	DD/590/03A Burham - Bell Lane	Object	Other (please elaborate)	As a parent of a child who goes to Burham C of E Primary School, I strongly object to the proposals. If this plan was to go ahead, I believe the congestion and disruption will be worse for residents and will last longer, as parents will be waiting around for a space to park to drop their children at school. I also feel it will become more dangerous for the children as they'll be lots of cars in the road waiting around for a space.
PCN048	DD/590/03A Burham - Bell Lane	Object	Other (please elaborate)	<p>As a parent of a child at Burham C of E Primary School, I can appreciate how frustrating it must be for residents. However, reducing the options available for parking will not solve this, in fact it will probably make it worse due to parents queuing to wait for the few spaces available in the parking area by the allotments.</p> <p>School runs for 39 weeks of the year and parking is only an issue between approximately 8.20 - 8.50 and 2.45-3.15.</p> <p>I do agree that restrictions need to be in place on the corners on Bell Crescent and Bell Lane, as this is dangerous and restricts drivers' and pedestrians' view.</p> <p>If restrictions were to go ahead, please could you advise where parents are meant to park to safely take their children into school?</p>
PCN024	DD/590/03A Burham - Bell Lane	Support	The changes should improve access to and from properties	Will stop people parking outside our driveway and easier to drive down the road with better visibility for school children

20th September 2021

Parking Action Plan - Phase 12 – Annex 3 Redacted formal consultation responses

Ref	Parking Consultation Location	Object or support	Reason	Parking Consultation Comments
PCN019	DD/590/03A Burham - Bell Lane	Support	Other (please elaborate)	This proposal is an excellent idea as it will improve the safety of pedestrians & school children & all users of the road in general
PCN358	DD/590/04A Ditton - New Road, Kiln Barn Road, St Peters Road & Cherry Orchard	Object	Other (please elaborate)	I have 2 concerns: The lack of parked traffic may encourage the use of St Peter's Road as a rat run. Can you look at measures to counter this. The proposal will only displace the parked cars to other nearby roads and lanes, including mine, Bradbourne Lane.
PCN158	DD/590/04A Ditton - New Road, Kiln Barn Road, St Peters Road & Cherry Orchard	Object	Other (please elaborate)	Please see separate email (as your system does not have sufficient space in this box) giving detail reasons for objecting.
PCN030	DD/590/04A Ditton - New Road, Kiln Barn Road, St Peters Road & Cherry Orchard	Object	I don't feel the proposals are necessary	Not necessary doesn't obstruct anyone, plus extra parking for visitors as the close has no space for additional parking
PCN029	DD/590/04A Ditton - New Road, Kiln Barn Road, St Peters Road & Cherry Orchard	Object	I don't feel the proposals are necessary	I object to the changes on Cherry Orchard
PCN234	DD/590/04A Ditton - New Road, Kiln Barn Road, St Peters Road & Cherry Orchard	Support	Other (please elaborate)	For all the three reasons that you are listed above under Reason for support.
PCN015	DD/590/05A Larkfield - Laburnum Drive, Hornbeam Close & The Ferns	Object	I don't feel the proposals are necessary	The wrong area has been highlighted for double yellows. We feel further down laburnum drive is a much bigger issue with people parking on either side of the road restricting access for emergency vehicles.

20th September 2021

Parking Action Plan - Phase 12 – Annex 3 Redacted formal consultation responses

Ref	Parking Consultation Location	Object or support	Reason	Parking Consultation Comments
PCN016	DD/590/05A Larkfield - Laburnum Drive, Hornbeam Close & The Ferns	Support	The changes should improve visibility and safety	For too long vans have been parked at the top of The Ferns blocking access around the corner making it unsafe for vehicles entering The Ferns from Hornbeam Close not able to see down the hill and having to pull out to the opposite side of the road to access The Ferns
PCN230	DD/590/06A Larkfield - Swallow Road & Oriole Way	Support	The changes should improve visibility and safety	Parking on the corner to this junction is dangerous as it reduces visibility for other drivers. This needs to be enforced via traffic wardens and/or cctv to ensure compliance with the restrictions. There is also an issue with cars parking across dropped kerbs and driveways which do not belong to the driver and the driver being unknown to the owner of the driveway.
PCN211	DD/590/06A Larkfield - Swallow Road & Oriole Way	Support	The changes should improve visibility and safety	
PCN047	DD/590/06A Larkfield - Swallow Road & Oriole Way	Support	The changes should improve visibility and safety	A Suggestion, please extend the proposed yellow lines from Oriole Way into Swallow Road further East in Swallow Road to the boundary of houses 39 & 41
PCN275	DD/590/08A Tonbridge - Gorham Drive	Object	Other (please elaborate)	Each time more restrictions are added all it does is move the problem to another area. It does not solve anything.
PCN210	DD/590/08A Tonbridge - Gorham Drive	Object	I don't agree with the charges	As a resident of farm ground close ,this proposal will bring all of today's parking issues at Gorham drive to our road at farm ground close
PCN156	DD/590/08A Tonbridge - Gorham Drive	Object	I don't agree with the charges	

20th September 2021

Parking Action Plan - Phase 12 – Annex 3 Redacted formal consultation responses

Ref	Parking Consultation Location	Object or support	Reason	Parking Consultation Comments
PCN128	DD/590/08A Tonbridge - Gorham Drive	Object	Other (please elaborate)	<p>I sympathise with the residents of Gorham Drive it must be miserable having to put up with inconsiderate parking.</p> <p>The problem is that you are just moving the problem around without finding a solution.</p> <p>There is a big demand for parking around there from Royal Mail and Urbaser amongst others. Recent decisions by TMBC to reduce the number of suitable parking spaces have caused the problem in Gorham Drive and are causing an increasingly dangerous situation on Woodgate Way with cars parked on a National Speed limit road.</p> <p>Please try to look at the real problem and find a proper solution and not another knee jerk reaction that simply moves the problem elsewhere.</p>
PCN086	DD/590/08A Tonbridge - Gorham Drive	Object	The changes will reduce my options for parking	<p>I work at Royal Mail Delivery office in Tonbridge TN91AA. There is no parking in the depot. I park my car in Gorham Drive. I walk 8 miles a day delivering mail to Tonbridge residents. If I have to park further away I will probably have to leave the job, as it is unfair to walk another mile to work. Gorham Drive houses a lot of staff cars from Royal Mail. This is an unnecessary tax on out of town workers and visitors.</p>
PCN209	DD/590/08A Tonbridge - Gorham Drive	Support	The changes should improve visibility and safety	<p>I strongly agree with the new proposal as someone will get hurt with the poor visibility of cars parked on corners</p>

20th September 2021

Parking Action Plan - Phase 12 – Annex 3 Redacted formal consultation responses

Ref	Parking Consultation Location	Object or support	Reason	Parking Consultation Comments
PCN191	DD/590/08A Tonbridge - Gorham Drive	Support	The changes should improve access to and from properties	In the past I've had my cars damaged due to lack of space with people trying to turn around outside my house because of all the cars parked on the hill opposite my house. They park on the bend of the road which causes people with pushchairs to walk in the road. The one concern I have is if commuters are allowed to obtain a parking permit because this will have a negative impact on spaces for parking. I believe it should be for only residents of the road in question.
PCN189	DD/590/08A Tonbridge - Gorham Drive	Support	The changes should improve visibility and safety	I would welcome the changes as some of the workers who park here park dangerously on junctions and pavements !
PCN127	DD/590/08A Tonbridge - Gorham Drive	Support	The changes should improve access to and from properties	I love here this needs to be done
PCN392	DD/590/10A Tonbridge - Lavender Hill	Object	I don't feel the proposals are necessary	Permits during the week are to prevent commuters from taking up space. Adding this to the weekend will only cost us more each time friends and family come to visit we would have to pay extra for visitor permits. There are not enough parking spaces for those who live here on a Saturday because they are not all at work. This will not solve the problem at all and end up costing us all more money.
PCN364	DD/590/10A Tonbridge - Lavender Hill	Object	The changes will reduce my options for parking	I live on Priory Walk, and on occasion need space to park. I believe that all residents have their own parking space, so having the availability for visitors to park there on Saturdays is really valuable to me - although this isn't often, I find there is usually more than 1 space free at weekends.

20th September 2021

Parking Action Plan - Phase 12 – Annex 3 Redacted formal consultation responses

Ref	Parking Consultation Location	Object or support	Reason	Parking Consultation Comments
PCN253	DD/590/10A Tonbridge - Lavender Hill	Object	I like most of the proposals in general, but I'd don't want... (please elaborate)	I have no objection to the plans to extend the permit scheme in Lavender Hill. However, a stretch of Pembury Road has been included and described as also currently having permits between 9.30 and 10.30 Mon to Friday. This area of Pembury Road is different - it is 2 hour max stay Mon - Saturday which is completely appropriate for a road where most houses have drives. I do not support the change to bring it into line with Lavender Hill where most residents have to park on the road. It is mildly concerning that the plans circulated are factually incorrect, and that no-one checked the existing signs on Pembury Road. There is a key on the map which only explains the blue line. What do the red and green lines mean?
PCN242	DD/590/10A Tonbridge - Lavender Hill	Object	I don't feel the proposals are necessary	<p>First of all thank you for the letter, I have only been living here a couple of months.</p> <p>In all honesty I haven't noticed any real difference in vehicles between weekdays and weekends. I believe most vehicles parked on Lavender Hill actually belong to residents and their guests rather than commuters.</p> <p>I have a young son, not yet 2 and occasionally I need childcare to park here. I have a space behind my property but no permit as I understand they are tailored to each vehicle registration. I only think this proposal will disrupt guests for those already living here (especially if my partner stays overnight for example) rather than disrupting commuters.</p> <p>I honestly think Mon-Fri is fair enough all things considered but extending it to Saturday is just unnecessary. The volume of cars on the road I think is simply down to households owning one or more cars and most don't have driveways!</p>

Parking Action Plan - Phase 12 – Annex 3 Redacted formal consultation responses

Ref	Parking Consultation Location	Object or support	Reason	Parking Consultation Comments
PCN219	DD/590/10A Tonbridge - Lavender Hill	Object	Other (please elaborate)	I do not see a need to add Saturday mornings to the restrictions as the difficulties parking are not due to commuters parking and going off to work on Saturdays. I would rather an afternoon restriction was added Mondays to Fridays. It would also be helpful for the bays to have lines added to divide the bay into vehicle lengths. Some people park in the middle of a bay which could have two cars, one behind the other. We are short of bays in Lavender Hill in relation to how many residents want to park. Many people park on the double yellow lines overnight - potential problems for emergency services.
PCN118	DD/590/10A Tonbridge - Lavender Hill	Object	Other (please elaborate)	For the second time I am responding with the SAME point. The map is INCORRECT. We live on Pembury Road which is included in the blue marked area on the map. The current restrictions outside No 35-53 Pembury Road are Mon-Sat 8am - 6pm Permit holders N, or 2 hours, No return in 2 hours. These are on the lamppost outside no 45 Pembury Road to which is attached a notice of these proposals! Therefore the proposals to change area N parking from Mon-Fri 9.30-10am etc CANNOT apply here as this is not the current restriction in place, making the proposal inaccurate and misleading. Please be clear and honest in what you are proposing to change - we as residents want to know whether the whole system is changing in front of our houses. Until then I cannot support an inaccurate proposal.

Parking Action Plan - Phase 12 – Annex 3 Redacted formal consultation responses

Ref	Parking Consultation Location	Object or support	Reason	Parking Consultation Comments
PCN107	DD/590/10A Tonbridge - Lavender Hill	Object	I don't feel the proposals are necessary	Adding permit parking for Saturday mornings will not help the parking situation at Lavender Hill as no one is using the road Saturday mornings for commuting or to abuse the free parking. If you walk around here on a Saturday morning you have no trouble finding a space. I genuinely believe there is no need to change our parking restrictions on Lavender Hill and that any difficulty found parking is in the majority of cases, down to sheer volume of car owners in the road. Most homes owning at least 1 car, and with only very few homes having driveways. It can be difficult to park Friday evenings due to the pub visitors, but its always manageable. By extending the permit to a Saturday it won't be creating any more space for residents it will simply inconvenience residents by meaning our friends and families who may visit and stay Friday night, or may come and visit Saturday mornings, will be unable to park without us, the residents, paying for more visitor vouchers. There is zero issue parking here Saturday 9:30-10:30am and this proposal would just be costly, confusing, and lead to the residents needing to pay when visitors come. I see no reason this will be of use.
PCN073	DD/590/10A Tonbridge - Lavender Hill	Object	I don't feel the proposals are necessary	I don't feel it necessary to include Pembury Road in this application.
PCN070	DD/590/10A Tonbridge - Lavender Hill	Object	I don't feel the proposals are necessary	I don't believe that the lack of parking spaces on the street is due to non-residents. I believe that most of the parking problems result from lack of civism when residents park their cars - it seems that everyone just wants to park literally in front of their door, regardless of the distance to the car ahead and behind, leaving very awkward spaces between cars. I believe that the right response would be to create parking delimitations/lines with the size of an average car throughout the road - this would lead to better and more efficient usage of the space and avoid wasted gaps.

20th September 2021

Parking Action Plan - Phase 12 – Annex 3 Redacted formal consultation responses

Ref	Parking Consultation Location	Object or support	Reason	Parking Consultation Comments
PCN062	DD/590/10A Tonbridge - Lavender Hill	Object	Other (please elaborate)	the theory is great, but they do not go far enough. There are a number of car parks in town, there is even a new one on Priory Street, so I believe that all on-street parking should be for residents only and should be 24/7. Parking is tricky enough and so should be solely given to residents. I would even suggest the possibility of allocating 1 bay to each property and also enforcing the use of private driveways for those who have them to free up on-road parking for those who do not have anywhere else to park their car.
PCN225	DD/590/10A Tonbridge - Lavender Hill	Support	The changes should improve access to and from properties	To allow residents to take priority on the streets where they pay to park and to deter non residents from parking when there are ample car parks in the town.
PCN120	DD/590/10A Tonbridge - Lavender Hill	Support	The changes should improve access to and from properties	Will the Saturday inclusion also include the 1-2 restriction as Mo-Fri? If so I support fully the change
PCN115	DD/590/10A Tonbridge - Lavender Hill	Support	The changes should improve access to and from properties	
PCN110	DD/590/10A Tonbridge - Lavender Hill	Support	The changes should improve access to and from properties	
PCN091	DD/590/10A Tonbridge - Lavender Hill	Support	The changes should improve access to and from properties	Deter out of town borough residents parking up and going into London and back by train on a Saturday. Helps preserve on street parking for actual residents of those streets.

20th September 2021

Parking Action Plan - Phase 12 – Annex 3 Redacted formal consultation responses

Ref	Parking Consultation Location	Object or support	Reason	Parking Consultation Comments
PCN018	DD/590/10A Tonbridge - Lavender Hill	Support	The changes should improve traffic movements	
PCN117	DD/590/12A Tonbridge - Lyons Crescent	Object	I don't feel the proposals are necessary	Tonbridge is being blighted by parking charges, stopping visitors coming into the town and spending their money there
PCN322	DD/590/12A Tonbridge - Lyons Crescent	Support	The changes should improve traffic movements	
PCN321	DD/590/12A Tonbridge - Lyons Crescent	Support	The changes should improve access to and from properties	I think these changes will be positive. Since the recent development of a number of blocks of flats, parking for residents and their visitors has been increasingly limited, so removing the 'limited waiting' bays will help to alleviate this problem. Additional enforcement will be required to stop people from leaving their cars near the junction with the high street to use the cash point or collect takeaway, as this is extremely dangerous.
PCN042	DD/590/12A Tonbridge - Lyons Crescent	Support	The changes should improve access to and from properties	Very often some vehicles park for many days along Lyons Crescent which defeats the object of the present two hour restrictions. This must be extremely frustrating for residents with parking permits, especially if they have to drive around to find parking elsewhere. Having said this, it must be enforced more than the present restrictions are.
PCN034	DD/590/12A Tonbridge - Lyons Crescent	Support	Other (please elaborate)	Residents' ability to park
PCN023	DD/590/12A Tonbridge - Lyons Crescent	Support	The changes should improve access to and from properties	

20th September 2021

Parking Action Plan - Phase 12 – Annex 3 Redacted formal consultation responses

Ref	Parking Consultation Location	Object or support	Reason	Parking Consultation Comments
PCN010	DD/590/12A Tonbridge - Lyons Crescent	Support	The changes should improve access to and from properties	<p>I support the proposal to ease the excessive burden for residents on road parking and reduction of traffic for non residents cruising the road looking for free parking.</p> <p>My only concern would be the amount of spaces on the road Vs permits issued, particularly as the spaces have already been reduced by 3 to 17. The yellow lines were drawn recently, so perhaps this consultation is a fait accompli.?</p>
PCN389	DD/590/16A Tonbridge - Shakespeare Road area	Object	The changes will reduce my options for parking	There will be a 'no waiting Noon to 1pm' right outside my house which means I will not be able to park outside my own house during the day. If I choose to buy a residents' parking permit, it will not help as the parking spaces I could choose would not be near my house, neither will the parking spaces which remain outside the scheme.
PCN262	DD/590/16A Tonbridge - Shakespeare Road area	Object	The changes will reduce my options for parking	All that these restrictions will do is push parking to the areas that have none. I would rather put up with school children than have to move my car everyday between 12 & 1

20th September 2021

Parking Action Plan - Phase 12 – Annex 3 Redacted formal consultation responses

PCN223	DD/590/16A Tonbridge - Shakespeare Road area	Object	I don't feel the proposals are necessary	<p>There was a whole area Residents Survey that asked the local area if they wanted a Residents Parking scheme, carried out by Ashhill developers when submitting their planning application to build on West Kent College Car Park. This survey rejected the idea, and so therefore did the Council only a little while ago. Therefore there is a majority against this in the Shakespeare Road area.</p> <p>Most of the houses in the proposed area have off street private drives, therefore the scheme is pointless and will not bring you the revenue that you desire. You will receive more planning applications for more off street parking, which will increase surface water flow into the drains that already flood during heavy rain on Brook Street.</p> <p>There is already a lot school car drop off and pick up blocking of Shakespeare Road - where people park on double yellow lines and dangerously force passing traffic onto the wrong side of the road. Resident's parking bays will simply be ignored by this cohort.</p> <p>Cars the used to park in Douglas Road for the Railway simply moved onto the Shakespeare Road area 10 years ago. Introducing another scheme just moves these people further out. They are bringing their big London pay checks back to spend in Tonbridge so good luck to them!</p> <p>You have a concentration of 5 schools / colleges plus nursery schools all on the same road. School traffic is hardly surprising and we all know the score when we moved in.</p> <p>TMBC granted planning permission on a large college car park beyond the requirements of their own local plan. West Kent College's old leadership team hatched the plan to redevelop their whole site and then sell off the car park later which they did before going broke and all leaving us their legacy! The new WKC team are nothing to do with the last lot.</p>
--------	--	--------	--	--

20th September 2021

Parking Action Plan - Phase 12 – Annex 3 Redacted formal consultation responses

Ref	Parking Consultation Location	Object or support	Reason	Parking Consultation Comments
				<p>The public were recently polled during the latest Ashhill West Kent College car park development consultation and the majority turned down the idea of a Resident's parking scheme. Why should local businesses such as Chiropractors, visiting builders or midwives have to have their freedom restricted when they already pay road tax?</p>

20th September 2021

Parking Action Plan - Phase 12 – Annex 3 Redacted formal consultation responses

Ref	Parking Consultation Location	Object or support	Reason	Parking Consultation Comments
PCN221	DD/590/16A Tonbridge - Shakespeare Road area	Object	I don't feel the proposals are necessary	
PCN178	DD/590/16A Tonbridge - Shakespeare Road area	Object	I don't agree with the charges	
PCN112	DD/590/16A Tonbridge - Shakespeare Road area	Object	I don't agree with the charges	<p>I strongly disagree that the council should make money from residents and students in the area for parking charges. No one has the right to park in front of their property. The most residents have driveways or allocated parking in the area and if the residents have additional cars they should be able to park in the road without charge.</p> <p>The people that park in the roads are not excessive and those students are a part of the community due to the college and schools being in the area.</p> <p>Imposing the restrictions will add costs to the residents and will move the parking to other streets by both the residents with additional vehicles and students of Scott, Shakespeare and Burns roads.</p> <p>We all pay more than enough council tax and other taxes for the services we receive.</p> <p>Leave the parking as it is or at most only allow parking on one side of the roads to allow emergency services access. All parking should remain free.</p>
PCN046	DD/590/16A Tonbridge - Shakespeare Road area	Object	I don't feel the proposals are necessary	

20th September 2021

Parking Action Plan - Phase 12 – Annex 3 Redacted formal consultation responses

Ref	Parking Consultation Location	Object or support	Reason	Parking Consultation Comments
PCN043	DD/590/16A Tonbridge - Shakespeare Road area	Object	Other (please elaborate)	<p>Creating parking restrictions on Shakespear Road will mean that people without permits will look to park in Masefield Way area. This would then reduce the parking spaces available for Masefield Way residents.</p> <p>All this proposal does is move the parking problem to another area, it solves nothing.</p>
PCN393	DD/590/16A Tonbridge - Shakespeare Road area	Support	The changes should improve traffic movements	
PCN356	DD/590/16A Tonbridge - Shakespeare Road area	Support	The changes should improve traffic movements	Totally support this proposal as we have so many cars in our road that stay for days on end. We have residents from other areas of Tonbridge who are now parking in our road on a permanent basis. This is unfair on the residents of Shakespeare road who are unable to park at times.
PCN217	DD/590/16A Tonbridge - Shakespeare Road area	Support	Other (please elaborate)	Insufficient street parking
PCN199	DD/590/16A Tonbridge - Shakespeare Road area	Support	The changes should improve access to and from properties	The spaces out side our house are always taken up in the mornings by commuters or people working at the school opposite. This means that sometimes we have to park in another road which just is not convenient when you have shopping and children too. They also park on the slope going up to our houses, this makes it so difficult to get passed, and we definitely couldn't get an emergency services vehicle in when they are there. We 100% support the council in this decision
PCN111	DD/590/16A Tonbridge - Shakespeare Road area	Support	Other (please elaborate)	Brilliant

20th September 2021

Parking Action Plan - Phase 12 – Annex 3 Redacted formal consultation responses

Ref	Parking Consultation Location	Object or support	Reason	Parking Consultation Comments
PCN105	DD/590/16A Tonbridge - Shakespeare Road area	Support	The changes should improve access to and from properties	I have lived in burns crescent for a year now and it's an absolute nightmare to park near or outside my house. Students and teachers park outside everyone's house from 8am to 5pm everyday. I've had to park on yellow lines and so have other residents, I've also received parking tickets for doing this, but there is literally nowhere to park even on the adjoining streets. Parking permits will be great for residents. Myself and my daughter both have cars, can we both get permits? There is not enough parking down my street anyway for the amount of houses which are here. There is a big grass verge along one side , if this was also made into slanted bays this would solve so much. I love my home and street however I would move house due to the nightmare surrounding this parking nightmare. I would love for this issue with parking be solved. The front gardens can't be turned into drives either as they are all so steep. I would love it to change .
PCN083	DD/590/16A Tonbridge - Shakespeare Road area	Support	The changes should improve access to and from properties	During term time pupils from Judd School use the area to park making it difficult to find a parking spot outside or near the house. This is particularly annoying when finishing work as heavy equipment needs to be moved from the car which sometimes needs to be parked 5 minutes walk away.
PCN076	DD/590/16A Tonbridge - Shakespeare Road area	Support	The changes should improve access to and from properties	Certain cars are parked in front of properties for weeks and prevent people homeowners / tenants from personal use of this parking or their visitors.
PCN064	DD/590/16A Tonbridge - Shakespeare Road area	Support	The changes should improve traffic movements	
PCN060	DD/590/16A Tonbridge - Shakespeare Road area	Support	The changes should improve access to and from properties	

20th September 2021

Parking Action Plan - Phase 12 – Annex 3 Redacted formal consultation responses

Ref	Parking Consultation Location	Object or support	Reason	Parking Consultation Comments
PCN108	DD/590/17A Tonbridge - Shipbourne Road	Support	The changes should improve visibility and safety	When leaving cage green road the vehicles parked on Shipbourne road obscures view you have to go out onto the main road without a clear view of oncoming traffic.
PCN084	DD/590/17A Tonbridge - Shipbourne Road	Support	The changes should improve visibility and safety	<p>The proposed extension to the yellow lines north of the junction with Cage Green Road will improve visibility for traffic exiting Cage Green Road onto Shipbourne Road which is currently limited when vehicles are parked on Shipbourne Road.</p> <p>As you know Cage Green Road is very busy with 2 schools within it and the improved visibility will enhance the safety of all.</p>
PCN045	DD/590/17A Tonbridge - Shipbourne Road	Support	The changes should improve visibility and safety	When vehicles are parked in this area they cause obstruction to general traffic; restrict the view of vehicles exiting and entering affected properties and endanger pedestrians, especially schoolchildren who cannot be seen by vehicles entering the properties.

20th September 2021